



125 Central Avenue, Suite 300, Coos Bay, OR 97420

Phone: 541.267.7678 Fax: 541.269.1475

Email: portcoos@portofcoosbay.com

Website: www.portofcoosbay.com

Project website: www.PCIPproject.com

Pacific Coast Intermodal Port (PCIP) – Reedsport Grade Separation Project

Qualification-Based Selection (QBS) Request for Proposal (RFP) for Architecture, Engineering and Related Services

July 29, 2026

Please submit to:

By upload: <https://www.portofcoosbay.com/solicitations-public-notice>

Attention: Brian Forquer, Vice President of Development, Oregon EcoTerminals, LLC

RFP Submittal Closing: 4:00 PM PST, Wednesday, August 19, 2026

Issued by: Oregon International Port of Coos Bay

(herein after referred to as “Port”)

RFP Number: 2026-04RCE

Project Name: Pacific Coast Intermodal Port (PCIP) Project Reedsport Grade Separation Project

Proposer Questions, RFP Protests, and Requests for Change: due via Port website no later than 10 business days prior to Proposal due date (i.e: August 5th).

A Pre-Proposal conference will not be held for this RFP.

Single Point of Contact

Brian P. Forquer, Vice President of Development Oregon EcoTerminals, LLC	
Address:	3315 N. Oak Trafficway Kansas City, MO 64116
E-mail:	solicitations@portofcoosbay.com
Website:	https://www.portofcoosbay.com/solicitations-public-notice

Please note:

- This RFP contains **multiple disciplines** which may be **applied for jointly**.
- This RFP is a Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) with the Oregon International Port of Coos Bay ("Port") and Oregon EcoTerminals LLC.

Proposals and all other submittal requirements specified in [§2.4](#) must be submitted before the Proposal due date and time to the above website.

Table of Contents

1.0	SOLICITATION PURPOSE & CONTRACT OVERVIEW	5
1.1	SUMMARY OVERVIEW & PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE	5
1.2	PROJECT BACKGROUND/SCOPE INFORMATION & LOCATION	5
1.3	PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	7
1.4	QUANTITY OF CONTRACTS AWARDED & OFFER PERIOD	7
1.5	FUNDING SOURCE(S):	7
1.6	INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	7
1.7	CONTRACT TERMS & CONDITIONS	7
2.0	INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS & SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS	8
2.1	PROPOSER QUESTIONS, RFP PROTESTS and REQUESTS FOR CHANGE	8
2.2	ADDENDA	8
2.3	MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS	8
2.4	PROPOSAL & SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS	9
3.0	EVALUATION PROCESS & CONSULTANT SELECTION	11
3.1	PROPOSAL EVALUATION	11
3.2	EVALUATION CRITERIA	11
3.3	PROPOSAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION	15
3.4	INTERVIEWS	17
3.5	REFERENCES	17
3.5	METHOD OF AWARD	17
3.6	FINAL SELECTION	17
3.7	RESPONSIBILITY AND RESPONSIVE DETERMINATION	18
3.8	INTENT TO AWARD NOTICE	18
3.9	PROTEST OF CONSULTANT SELECTION	18
3.10	PUBLICITY	18
4.0	CONTRACT AWARD REQUIREMENTS & NEGOTIATIONS	19
4.1	NEGOTIATIONS	19
4.2	CERTIFICATES OF INSURANCE	19
4.3	TAX ID NUMBER	19
4.4	BUSINESS REGISTRY NUMBER/REGISTERED AGENT	19
5.0	GENERAL TERMS & CONDITIONS FOR THIS RFP	20
5.1	NON-DISCRIMINATION	20
5.2	FUTURE WORK LIMITATIONS	20

5.3	ELECTRONIC FILES LINKED OR ATTACHED TO RFP	20
5.4	PUBLIC RECORDS	20
5.5	USE OF RECYCLED PRODUCTS	21
5.6	RFP CANCELLATION	21
	ATTACHMENT A - PROPOSAL COVER SHEET	22
	ATTACHMENT B - STATEMENT of WORK	26
	Objectives/Deliverables	28
	Task 1: Preliminary Engineering and Planning Activities Subtask	28
	ATTACHMENT C - SAMPLE CONTRACT	31
	ATTACHMENT D – FORM 1 – FIRST TIER SUB-PROPOSER DISCLOSURE FORM	32
	ATTACHMENT E - RCE GRANT SCOPE OF WORK	34

Definition of Terms:

The following terms have the meaning provided in [OAR 137-046-0110](#): “Addendum” or “Addenda”, “Business Day”, “Closing”, “Contract”, “OAR”, “ORS”, “Proposal”, “Request for Proposal” or “RFP”, “Responsive”, “Writing”. “Business Day” means Monday through Friday, excluding State of Oregon holidays.

1.0 SOLICITATION PURPOSE & CONTRACT OVERVIEW

1.1 SUMMARY OVERVIEW & PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE

The Port is issuing this Request for Proposals and any Addenda thereto (collectively, the “RFP”) to obtain Proposals from qualified consultant(s) for preliminary engineering, design and related services (“Services”) for the project described in §1.2.

For further information regarding the project and Services needed, see sections 1.2 through 1.10 and RFP Attachment B.

Proposers responding to this RFP do so solely at their expense, and the Port is not responsible for any Proposer expenses associated with the RFP.

Single discipline proposal content examples
25 page maximum 1 Cover letter 1 Description of firm 1 Experience description 1 Project team 1 Project Strategy

*Resumes may be included as an Appendix and limited to two pages each. (Resumes will not be included in the 25-page count for the proposal).

<i>Procurement Schedule</i>	<i>Date</i>
Proposal evaluation (clarification and interviews if necessary)	Estimated 8/19/2026 to 8/26/2026
Notice of Intent to Award	Estimated 8/27/2026
Negotiations*	Estimated 8/27/2026 to 9/2/2026
Contract start	Estimated 9/9/2026

*Proposer, by submitting a Proposal, commits to and will be expected to make best efforts to accommodate the negotiation schedule above if selected for intent to award. See §4.7 - Negotiations.

1.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND/SCOPE INFORMATION & LOCATION

The Oregon International Port of Coos Bay (Port), has received the Railroad Crossing Elimination (RCE) grant funding for the Reedsport Grade Separation Project, which aims to complete project development activities (preliminary engineering, NEPA, permitting) for a new overcrossing at the intersection of Highway 38 (OR 38, Umpqua Highway) and the Coos Bay Rail Line (CBRL), and safety upgrades at an adjacent crossing on Winchester Avenue, in Reedsport, Oregon.

Based on the current understanding of the project, Reedsport anticipates up to an additional 10 trains per day on the CBRL, resulting from the development of the Pacific Coast Intermodal Port (PCIP) in Coos Bay, Oregon. With an increased number of trains per day on the CBRL, the existing at-grade crossings present substantial safety risks and traffic delays, affecting local mobility, emergency response time, and livability.

The proposed crossing improvements are the result of the Reedsport Railroad Crossing Study conducted by the Oregon Department of Transportation, the Port, and the City of Reedsport over a 17-month period (12/2022 – 04/2024).

This project will pursue a Categorical Exclusion (CE) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (under 23 CFR § 771.116(c)(17)) for the Reedsport Rail Crossing Improvement Project, with the Federal Railroad Administration as the NEPA lead agency. The Project has independent utility, logical termini, and the Port of Coos Bay and City of Reedsport have indicated that the Project is necessary and will meet the Purpose and Need for the Project even if no other improvements are made on the CBRL rail for the Project. The City of Reedsport, in coordination with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and the Port, has completed the Reedsport Rail Crossing Study (April 2024) to identify safety, congestion, and emergency access needs at two critical at-grade crossings (OR 38 and Winchester Avenue).

The proposed scope of this planning project includes the following specific activities: **Preliminary Engineering (30%)** [Bridge Type Size & Location (TS&L) Report, Engineer's Estimate, Bridge TS&L Plan Sheet(s), Bridge Design Criteria & Standards Assessment, Draft Design Deviations and Exceptions, Pre-Design Load Rating, where required, 30% Civil Plans: New and modified pavement/roadways, Site civil, Drainage, Traffic, Utilities, Geotechnical borings and report, Topographic & ROW survey, Hydrology & Hydraulics analysis and design etc.], **Advanced Design (90%)** [Final Foundation Design & Report (incl. Geotech & technical)].

The project shall be designed and constructed in conformance with the current edition of the *ODOT Highway Design Manual* and the *Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction Manual*. The Project shall be designed and constructed to State of Oregon/ODOT standards and approved by the State prior to advertisement for construction bids, or construction of Project by the Port.

The Port is seeking proposals from qualified individual(s), firm(s), team(s) or consultant(s), hereafter called Proposers, with demonstrated experience in projects of this type, size and complexity. The Port proposes to engage the successful Proposer for the following services: landscape, surveying, geotechnical work, civil engineering (detailed engineering plans and roadway plans), structural engineering, bridge structure and foundation, electrical engineering, traffic study, environmental studies/NEPA, permitting, or other services as required to accomplish the scope of work as described in the RFP Attachment B – Statement of Work.

Because of the critical importance of the PCIP to regional and national supply chains, any Proposer intending to join the process must understand that **project management efficiency is a key priority**.

The Statement Of Work (SOW) will be developed and negotiated, within the scope advertised in this RFP, with the selected Proposer for inclusion in the Contract.

1.3 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The schedule for performance of Services needed under the prospective Contract is approximately:

- **September 9, 2026** (projected date of contract start) to no later than **May 31, 2027** (end of design phase)

1.4 QUANTITY OF CONTRACTS AWARDED & OFFER PERIOD

If award is made from this RFP -

- Awards will be based on the results of Port's evaluation and scoring of Proposals.

1.5 FUNDING SOURCE(S):

Funding for the Work is through the RCE Grant Program and is subject to the terms and conditions described in the Grant Agreement between the Port and the United States Department of Transportation (RCE FY23-24 Grant No. 69A36525421220RCEOR). Proposer shall comply with all relevant provisions of the Grant Agreement, a copy of which is available upon request. Subsequent work may be funded by other public or private sources and may be subject to terms and conditions stipulated in a separate agreement.

1.6 INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

See Attachment C - Sample Contract for the insurance requirements (Contract Exhibit C) and terms and conditions that will apply to the prospective Contract from this RFP.

1.7 CONTRACT TERMS & CONDITIONS

See Attachment C - Sample Contract, which is incorporated in the RFP by this reference, for the terms and conditions that will apply to the prospective Contract from this RFP. Unless an official Addendum has modified or reserved the right to negotiate any terms and conditions contained in the Sample Contract or exhibits thereto, Port will not negotiate any term or condition after the solicitation protest deadline, except the SOW and pricing with the selected Proposer(s). Changes to standard Contract terms and conditions may be subject to approval by the Port.

By Proposal submittal, the selected Proposer agrees to be bound by the terms and conditions as set out in the Sample Contract associated with this RFP, and as they may have been modified or reserved by Port for negotiation. **Any Proposal that is received conditioned on Port's acceptance of any other terms and conditions or rights to negotiate will be rejected.**

2.0 INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS & SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

2.1 PROPOSER QUESTIONS, RFP PROTESTS and REQUESTS FOR CHANGE

All questions, RFP protests or requests for change relating to any aspect of this RFP or the associated project(s) must be submitted by uploading at <https://www.portofcoosbay.com/solicitations-public-notices>. RFP protests and requests for change submitted after the due date on page 2 of this RFP will not be considered.

Any RFP protest or request for change must identify the RFP number and project name it applies to and must be in conformance with requirements set forth in [OAR 137-048-0240\(1\)](#). The foregoing procedures and deadline shall also apply to protests and requests for change respecting the contents of Addenda to the RFP, unless the Addenda specifies a different deadline. Failing to follow the foregoing requirements regarding the Single Point of Contact for inquiries may result in Proposal rejection by Port.

Answers to substantive questions and/or any changes to the RFP will be issued as official Addenda to this RFP, no later than 10 Business Days (i.e: August 5th, 2026) prior to the Proposal due date.

2.2 ADDENDA

When appropriate, as determined by Port in its sole discretion, changes to Closing/Proposal due date and time or revisions, additions, substitutions, clarifications of the RFP or attached terms and conditions will be issued as Addenda to this RFP. Modifications to this RFP shall be binding on Port and Proposer only if in the form of written Addenda issued by Port. Any such Addenda are incorporated into this RFP as if fully set forth herein. Except for officially issued Addenda, no person has been authorized to provide any other written or oral representation, clarification, warranty or assurance with respect to this RFP or the project.

Port shall advertise addenda, if any, at the following website: <https://www.portofcoosbay.com/solicitations-public-notices>.

Anyone who has downloaded or received a copy of this RFP will only be alerted to the existence of any Addenda by monitoring and downloading from the above website. Port is not responsible for sending Addenda to any potential Proposers.

2.3 MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

This portion of the RFP discusses minimum qualifications among staff for submission consideration. For evaluation of personnel on merits, see §3.2.4

- 2.3.1. Registered Professional Engineer.** In the space provided on the Proposal Cover Sheet (RFP Attachment A), list the name and registration number of at least one Oregon Registered Civil Engineer in active status (or that will be prior to Contract

execution) employed by Proposer and proposed to be in responsible charge of engineering Services under the prospective Contract.

2.3.2. Registered Professional Land Surveyor (PLS). In the space provided on the Proposal Cover Sheet (RFP Attachment A), list the name and registration number of at least one PLS intending to perform Services under the Contract.

2.4 PROPOSAL & SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

2.4.1 Time, Date and Place for Submission. Proposals and all required submittal items must be submitted and uploaded to the Port's website and received by the deadline specified on page 1 of this RFP (or such other deadline as may be revised by Addenda issued by Port). Port will not accept Proposals submitted after the Proposal submittal deadline.

As submittals shall be sent digitally, in the event of a system failure that interferes with the ability of Proposer to submit Electronic Submittals, to protest or to otherwise participate in the procurement, Port may extend the date and time of receipt of Electronic Submittals by issuing an Addendum immediately after the electronic submittal system becomes available.

2.4.2 Proposal Page Limit. The Proposal is limited to 25 pages. Any pages exceeding this limit will not be considered in the evaluation. Items excluded and not counted as pages toward the page limit include:

- Resumes in an Appendix (limited to 2 pages each)
- Attachment A – Proposal Cover Sheet,
- Any additional forms required in Attachment A and D.

2.4.3 Proposal Format. One page is defined as: one side of any 8-1/2" x 11" page, partial page, tab, index or table of contents that contains substantive text, tables, graphics, charts, resumes, etc. Any page over this size will be counted as 2 pages. Proposals must use a minimum of 12-point font for substantive text (including text in tables or resumes, if any).

Proposal and other submittal requirements must be submitted in the following electronic format: Adobe Acrobat (pdf) or Microsoft Word (docx).

2.4.4 Required Proposal Contents. Proposals must include:

- A completed and signed Proposal Coversheet – RFP Attachment A (not counted toward page limit);
- Responses to the scored criteria identified in [§3](#) and any other requirements specified in this RFP or the Proposal Coversheet;
- Content which Proposer believes to be a trade secret or exempt from public disclosure must be so indicated in conformance with [§5.4](#) of this RFP.
- Note: Proposers should review [§4](#) of this RFP to ensure they can comply with submittal requirements for the selected Proposal.

2.4.5 Electronic File Size. Proposer shall make reasonable efforts to compress or optimize files to not exceed a combined total of 6 megabytes for all submittals. For tips on reducing file size, see information at the following links: [How to reduce PDF file size](#); [How to reduce the size of Word documents that contain images](#).

2.4.6 Proposal Withdrawals or Modifications. A Proposal may be withdrawn or replaced with a modified Proposal by written request from Proposer, provided the request (and any modified Proposal) is signed by Proposer's authorized representative and received by Port prior to the deadline for Proposal submittal.

3.0 EVALUATION PROCESS & CONSULTANT SELECTION

3.1 PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Submissions will be reviewed by Port for responsiveness to all requirements (allowing for minor informalities) set forth in the RFP and RFP Coversheet. Responsive Proposals by Responsible Proposers will be forwarded to an evaluation committee of at least 3 members that will independently review, score and rank Proposals according to the Scoring Criteria set forth in §3.2. Evaluators will independently judge the merits of the Proposals by comparing the requirements and criteria stated in the RFP with the responsiveness and the relevance of experience/qualifications presented in the Proposal. The outcome of the Evaluation process may, in Port's sole discretion, result in:

(a) notice to Proposers of selection or rejection for Contract negotiation and possible award; or

(b) further steps to gather additional information for evaluation (e.g. checking references, notice of placement on an interview list, requesting clarification).

Port may request any clarification it needs to understand the Proposer's Proposal. **Clarifications may not be used to rehabilitate a non-responsive Proposal, nor may changes be made to the Proposal.**

3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

3.2.1 COVER LETTER:

By submitting a Statement of Qualifications, the Proposer is accepting all the general instructions and conditions contained in this QBS solicitation and the Standard Contract Provisions of the Port's Professional Services Contract.

The Cover Letter must include the following:

- The project title;
- Name(s) of person(s) authorized to represent the Proposer in any negotiations;
- Name(s) of person(s) authorized to sign any contract that may result from the selection process;
 - Including the contact person's name, mailing or street addresses, phone and fax numbers, and email address and a statement that no redactions are requested, if applicable;
- Signature by a legal representative of the Proposer authorized to bind the firm in contract.

3.2.2 DESCRIPTION OF FIRM:

Describe, for the firm of the Proposer and any sub-consultants:

- Legal structure (sole practice, partnership, LLP, corporation, etc);

- Address and contact information of the office relevant to the project;
- Particular expertise, length of time in business, number of employees, and any information relevant to characterizing the firm;
- Internal procedures and policies ensuring work quality and cost control;
- The successful Proposer(s) must be in compliance with all laws regarding conducting business in the State of Oregon, Lane, Douglas and Coos Counties before an award may be made. The Proposer shall be responsible for obtaining any required business licenses, if applicable.

3.2.3 EXPERIENCE:

- Describe other projects completed or in progress by your firm that:
 - Demonstrate relevant experience on ODOT projects and requirements, pavement/roadways, civil design, railroad overcrossings, bridge/structures, structural/foundation design, geotechnical borings and reports, topographic and right of way/property surveys, drainage, traffic, utilities, hydrology & hydraulics analysis and design, engineers estimates and NEPA;
 - Best characterize the firm's capabilities, work quality and cost control, and ability to manage complex, federally funded design projects;
 - If your firm worked in an auxiliary capacity or in a joint venture or partnership, include the name of the lead firm and delineate your specific technical contribution.
- List relevant public and private sector clients for whom your firm has performed similar work in the past five (5) years;
 - For each project mentioned, include the name, address and phone number of a person who can be contacted regarding your firm's performance on the project.
 - Only choose projects which are pertinent to the planning work required for this Project.
 - Avoid extensive descriptions of vaguely related projects; these can negatively impact the overall outcome of the evaluation.
- Where applicable, please also describe:
 - Experience of key staff persons in providing similar Engineering, Photogrammetric Mapping, Transportation Planning or Land Surveying Services, or Related Services on comparable projects;
 - The amount and type of resources, and number of experienced staff persons Proposers have committed to perform the Engineering, Photogrammetric Mapping, Transportation Planning or Land Surveying Services or Related Services described in the RFP;
 - The recent, current and projected workloads of such staff;

- The proportion of time Proposers estimate that such staff would spend on the Engineering, Photogrammetric Mapping, Transportation Planning or Land Surveying Services or Related Services described in the RFP;

For more technical information, refer to Attachment B (Statement of Work)

Time and performance are of the utmost importance in the execution of this contract for the PCIP project.

3.2.4 PROJECT TEAM:

- Proposals must identify a proposed project manager who would be responsible for the day-to-day management of project tasks and would be the primary point of contact with your firm.
 - Describe the project manager's experience with similar projects and with managing and leading interdisciplinary teams.
 - List other projects the proposed project manager is currently assigned to.
- Identify who the Deputy Project Manager will be and provide a description of their unique qualifications and experience as it relates to the project at hand.
- The objective of the project team description is to assemble and identify a narrowly-focused group of experts poised to execute the project to a high standard of technical achievement.
- Proposals should present a team:
 - With sufficient size and experience;
 - Whose members are all directly relevant to the project;
 - The goal of which is to prevent overstaffing and redundancy.
- Provide a professional resume for the key personnel, including:
 - Key personnel of any major sub-consultants proposed;
 - Describe unique qualifications and relevant experience on similar or related projects.
 - Describe each individual's role;
 - Relevant technical expertise;
 - Experience on projects of similar scale and complexity (e.g., roadway projects, geotechnical, survey, bridges/structures, rail crossing and NEPA Categorical Exclusion, Walls/Viaducts).

3.2.5 PROJECT STRATEGY:

This is a Qualifications Based Selection (QBS). **Cost information shall not be submitted with Proposals** (see RFP §4.0). This section focuses on the applicant's approach to and understanding of the project in the broader context of the PCIP.

- Describe the sequence of technical tasks that must be accomplished in order to complete the project:
 - Execution Plan: provide a phase-by-phase breakdown (e.g., site investigations, modeling, design and permitting) outlining specific methods, standards, and tools.
 - Deliverables by Phase: identify key technical products (e.g., 30%-60%-90% design, drafts).
- Rely on your firm's expertise and experience with similar projects to demonstrate how it will effectively complete the roadway grade separation design and rail crossing design.
- Demonstrate time and resource availability to perform relevant work for the duration of the project.
 - Include a milestone-level schedule with major technical deadlines and resource allocation.
 - Clearly indicate their time commitment by project phase to help evaluate team capacity against delivery schedule.
 - Highlight availability of key staff and how workload will be managed.
- Exercise independent judgment:
 - Discuss any unique aspects of the project, alternative approaches the Port may wish to consider, or special considerations related to programmatic or funding requirements.
 - Describe any challenging, novel, or innovative ideas your team may have to improve the design, permitting, safety or aesthetics of the Project.
 - Identify any obstacles your team may be uniquely equipped to overcome.

3.3 PROPOSAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION

3.3.1. PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING:

Each proposal shall be evaluated on the following evaluation criteria, weighting and maximum points, as follows:

<i>SCORING CATEGORIES AND POINT DISTRIBUTION</i>		
a.	Cover letter	0
b.	Description of Firm	10
c.	Experience	30
d.	Project Team	15
e.	Project Strategy	45
SUBMITTED PROPOSAL POINTS AVAILABLE:		100
	Interviews	10
TOTAL PROPOSAL POINTS AVAILABLE:		110

Note: The deliverables for this project are identified in [Attachment B, Statement of Work](#), attached to this Request for Proposal (RFP) and incorporated herein by this reference.

MERIT CRITERIA GUIDELINES

	<i>Unsatisfactory Response</i>	<i>Target Response</i>
Description of Firm	Description does not provide adequate means of contact, clarity on organizational structure, or answer desired fields etc.	Proposer responds to all requested criteria, makes all information conspicuous, and is compliant with relevant state and federal law.
Experience	Experience limited to nominally or superficially similar projects. Experience listed is overly broad and exhaustive and not tailored to Proposal discipline.	Experience cited is concise, direct, and obviously relevant. Applicant has worked extensively on nearly identical projects. Project Similarity may be determined by: • Scope in terms of technical, financial, and regional impact. • Geography - proximity to Coos Bay, or similar geographic and climatic conditions (e.g: PNW)
Project Team	Team is generic and unspecific to the proposal discipline. Lack of clarity as to individual contributions of particular members. Staff organization is rote and unconsidered instead of discipline-oriented.	Team is focused and limited to the roles necessary for the completion of the project. Team members contribute in an obvious, observable manner to the project. Members' qualifications, experience, and skills directly apply to the Proposal discipline.

Project Strategy	Proposer does not seek to innovate or push the limits of grade separation design. Proposer intends to use outdated or one-size-fits-all work. Proposer is not willing or able to pursue aggressive deadlines. Unclear whether team has capacity to prioritize a large yet focused undertaking.	Proposer has carefully considered the limits and possibilities of the project and intends to present a modern, safety-focused grade separation and set of improvements in Reedsport. Proposer understands the aggressive pace and imposing scope of the project. Team has capacity, availability, and intention to prioritize Project.
-------------------------	--	--

3.3.2. EVALUATION PROCESS:

An evaluation review committee will be used by the Port to evaluate the proposals received. For the purpose of scoring proposals, each committee member will evaluate each proposal in accordance with the criteria and point factors listed above. The evaluation committee may seek outside expertise, including but not limited to input from technical advisors, to assist in the evaluation process.

The Committee will require a minimum of 5 working days to evaluate and score the proposals received.

Questions and Requests: All questions and requests for clarification regarding this QBS solicitation shall be directed via Port website to the contact listed on page 1 of this document.

At the Port's sole discretion, communications with members of the evaluation committee, other Port staff, or elected Port officials for the purpose of unfairly influencing the outcome of this QBS solicitation may be cause for the Proposer's proposal to be rejected and disqualified from further consideration.

Any contract resulting from the Committee's recommendation will be submitted to the Port Commission for approval, unless approval has previously been given by the Port Commission for the contract. The Port has the right to reject any contract if deemed in the public interest at the Port's sole discretion.

NOTE: The Port shall reject any Proposal it finds Non-Responsive or submitted by a Proposer it finds not Responsible. At the Port's discretion, litigation, threatened litigation or previous unacceptable performance of a contract between the Port and a Proposer may be cause for the Port to find the Proposer not Responsible or the Proposal Non-Responsive resulting in proposal rejection, regardless of when that litigation, threatened litigation or previous unacceptable performance of a contract comes to the Port's attention and regardless how the Proposer's proposal may have been scored. Proposals may also be rejected if they use subcontractors or sub-consultants who are involved in litigation with the Port. Proposers who are concerned about possible rejection on this basis should contact the Port before submission of a proposal for a preliminary determination of whether its proposal will be rejected.

3.4 INTERVIEWS

Port may, at its sole discretion, conduct and score interviews with whatever number of top ranked Proposers it deems fit following initial evaluation. For interviews:

- Evaluation and scoring will be based on the criteria presented in §3.2;
 - The interview's objective is to determine fitness to such criteria and clarify any questions regarding the Proposer's qualifications.
 - No additions, deletions, or substitutions to the initial submission will be permitted.
 - Interviewers may decide to modify scores awarded to written submissions in respect of interview responses. This is separate from points awarded for the interview itself. Once changed, this score is final.
- A maximum score of 10 points may be awarded;
- A minimum of 3 evaluators shall score the interviews;
- Practical details of interview processes (including date and time and whether physical presence will be required) will be included with notification of selection for interviews.
- In the case of a tie, Port will refer to tiebreaker provisions contained in §5.3.6 of Chapter 6 of Port's Local Contracting Rules.

3.5 REFERENCES

Port reserves the right to investigate references including customers other than those provided in the Proposal or Proposal Coversheet (Attachment A). Investigation may include past performance of any Proposer with respect to its successful performance of similar projects, compliance with specifications and contractual obligations, its completion or delivery of a project on schedule, and its lawful payment to employees and workers or any other criteria as determined by Port.

3.6 METHOD OF AWARD

The scores for Proposer ranking and tentative award will be determined as follows:

- Total Proposal Score = Total of all evaluator scores for a given Proposal, divided by the number of evaluators.
- Total Interview or Clarifications score if necessary = Total of all evaluator scores for a given Proposer, divided by the number of evaluators.
- **Final Score** = Total Proposal Score plus Total Interview or Follow-up Questions Score (if conducted).

3.7 FINAL SELECTION

CONTRACT NEGOTIATION: The Port will attempt to reach a final agreement, including a detailed scope of work, project schedule, and fee schedule, with the highest scoring

Proposer. However, the Port may, in its sole discretion, terminate negotiations and reject the proposal if it appears agreement cannot be reached.

The Port may then attempt to reach a final agreement with the second highest scoring Proposer and may continue on, in the same manner, with remaining Proposers until an agreement is reached. The proposal and all responses provided by the successful Proposer may become a part of the final contract. Any information included as part of this contract shall be a public record and not exempt from disclosure, including items redacted from the proposal. The form of contract is provided in Attachment C. .

3.8 RESPONSIBILITY AND RESPONSIVE DETERMINATION

At any time prior to Contract execution, Port may rescind the intent to award notice, if applicable, and reject any Proposer found to be not Responsible or the Proposal Non-Responsive.

3.9 INTENT TO AWARD NOTICE

If an apparent successful Proposer is selected, Port will issue an intent to award notice. Award to the apparent successful Proposer is subject to successful negotiation of the Contract.

3.10 PROTEST OF CONSULTANT SELECTION

A Proposer who claims to have been adversely affected or aggrieved by the selection of the highest ranked Proposer may submit a written protest of the selection to the Single Point of Contact, identified on page 1 of this RFP, no later than 7 business days after the date of the selection notice. Selection protests submitted after this deadline will not be considered. Selection protests must identify the RFP number and project name it applies to, and must be in conformance with requirements set forth in [OAR 137-048-0240\(2\)](#). All costs of a protest shall be the responsibility of the protestor and undertaken at the protestor's expense.

3.11 PUBLICITY

Any publicity giving reference to this project, whether in the form of press releases, brochures, photographic coverage, or verbal announcement, shall be done only after prior written approval of the Port.

4.0 CONTRACT AWARD REQUIREMENTS & NEGOTIATIONS

The submittal requirements in this §4 apply only to a Proposer that receives intent to award notice following Port's evaluation and scoring of Proposals (and interviews, if conducted).

Cost information shall not be submitted as part of the Proposal package and shall be submitted only when requested by Port.

Failure to submit required submittal items in a timely manner may result in Port rescinding the intent to award notice and issuing notice of intent to award to the next ranked Proposer.

4.1 NEGOTIATIONS

The Port will negotiate the scope of work, costs, and any provisions it has expressly indicated as negotiable in the RFP or any Addenda, in the best interest of the Port. If the Port and the highest-ranked Proposer are unable to reach agreement within a reasonable period, the Port may, either orally or in writing, formally terminate negotiations. The Port may then enter into negotiations with the next highest-ranked Proposer, and continue in order of ranking until a Contract is executed or the Port elects to end the solicitation. The determination of what constitutes a reasonable time for negotiation is at the sole discretion of the Port.

4.2 CERTIFICATES OF INSURANCE

Prior to Contract execution, selected Proposer shall provide certificates of insurance via e-mail for insurance coverage required in Exhibit C of the Sample Contract (RFP Attachment C).

4.3 TAX ID NUMBER

The selected Proposer shall provide their Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) and backup withholding status on a completed [W-9 form](#) if either of the following apply:

- When requested by Port prior to Contract execution, or
- When the backup withholding status or any other information of Proposer has changed since the last submitted W-9 form, if any.

4.4 BUSINESS REGISTRY NUMBER/REGISTERED AGENT

If selected for Contract award, Proposer must be duly authorized by the State of Oregon to transact business in the State before executing the Contract. The selected Proposer shall submit a current Oregon Secretary of State business registry number (unless operating as your [real and true name](#)). See [process for obtaining a business registry number](#). All Corporations and other business entities (domestic and foreign) must have a Registered Agent in Oregon. See requirements and exceptions regarding [Registered Agents](#). For more information, see [Oregon Business Guide, How to Start a Business in Oregon](#) and [Laws and Rules](#). The titles in this subsection are available at the following Internet site: <https://sos.oregon.gov/business/Pages/default.aspx>.

5.0 GENERAL TERMS & CONDITIONS FOR THIS RFP

5.1 NON-DISCRIMINATION

Port, in accordance with the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252. 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all Proposers that it will affirmatively ensure that all business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit Proposals in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

5.2 FUTURE WORK LIMITATIONS

(For these purposes, “Affiliate” or “Affiliates” of a consultant means any Person or entity that controls, is controlled by or is under common ownership or control with that consultant.)

- If a consultant or any Associate of consultant enters into personal services Contract(s) with Port for the purpose of advising or assisting in developing specifications, a scope or statement of work, an invitation to bid, an RFP or other solicitation documents and materials related to a given procurement, the consultant may not be eligible to propose/bid on the prospective procurement (based on a case-by-case assessment by the Port).

5.3 ELECTRONIC FILES LINKED OR ATTACHED TO RFP

This RFP document must be viewed electronically to access files, attachments, forms, provisions or other documents that are attached electronically (shown as icons) or provided via hyperlinks from the Internet in this RFP. All files, attachments forms, provisions or other documents attached electronically or linked from the Internet are incorporated in this RFP with the same force and effect as though fully set forth in this RFP.

5.4 PUBLIC RECORDS

Proposals shall be open to public inspection in accordance with [ORS 279C.107](#). If a Proposal contains any information that may be considered exempt from disclosure as a trade secret under either ORS 192.311(2) or ORS 646.461(4), or under other grounds specified in Oregon Public Records Law, ORS 192.311 through 192.478, the Proposer must clearly designate on or with the Proposal the portions of its Proposal which Proposer claims are exempt from disclosure, along with a justification and citation to the authority relied upon. Identifying the Proposal in whole as trade secret, confidential or otherwise exempt from disclosure is not acceptable. In such circumstances, Port will require Proposer to submit a memorandum citing the statutory justification for each specific area of the Proposal that Proposer claims to be exempt. If Proposer fails to identify, on or with the Proposal, the portions of the Proposal Proposer claims are exempt from disclosure and the authority used to substantiate that claim, Proposer is deemed to have waived any later claim of an exemption or request for nondisclosure of that information. Port will not be held liable for any disclosure of

information which Proposer considers to be exempt from disclosure if required by a Public Records Order. Notwithstanding any rights under 17 USC 101 et seq., (the United States Copyright Act), when Port is required to provide copies of the non-exempt portion of the Proposal pursuant to a Public Records Order, Proposer hereby grants a license to Port to copy those portions of the Proposal that are subject to disclosure.

5.5 USE OF RECYCLED PRODUCTS

Consultants/contractors shall use recyclable products to the maximum extent economically feasible in the performance of the Contract work set forth in this document.

5.6 RFP CANCELLATION

Port may reject any or all Proposals and may cancel this RFP at any time if doing either would be in the public interest as determined by Port. In no event shall Port have any liability for the cancellation of this solicitation.

ATTACHMENT A - PROPOSAL COVER SHEET

Part I – Proposer Information and References

RFP#: 2026-04RCE; Project Name: Reedsport Grade Separation Project

Legal Name of Firm as provided to IRS: _____

DBA Name (if different than legal name): _____

DUNS Number: _____	Is Proposer registered as a foreign corporation in Oregon? ☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ Corporation ☐ Professional Corporation ☐ Ltd. Liability Company ☐ Partnership ☐ Limited Partnership ☐ Ltd. Liability Partnership ☐ Sole Proprietorship ☐ Other:	
State of Incorporation/Organization: _____	
Mailing Address: _____	

Type name of authorized contact for this RFP: _____
Email address: _____
Telephone: _____ Fax: _____
Type name of person(s) authorized to sign Contract: _____

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

- Registered Professional Engineer per requirements of RFP §2.3.1:

Name	Registration Number

- Registered Professional Land Surveyor (PLS) per requirements of RFP §2.3.2:

Name	Registration Number

REFERENCES

Provide references for 3 clients for which Proposer has provided, in the last 3 years, similar services to those described in this RFP (please verify contact information):
1) Name of Firm: _____ Reference Contact Person _____
Telephone: _____ email _____
Project Title: _____
Scheduled Contract Completion Date: _____ Actual Contract Completion Date: _____
Contract Est. Cost: _____ Contract Actual Cost: _____
Project Delivery Method: ☐ DBB; ☐ CMGC; ☐ DB; ☐ _____
2) Name of Firm: _____ Reference Contact Person _____
Telephone: _____ email _____

Project Title: _____

Scheduled Contract Completion Date: _____ Actual Contract Completion Date: _____

Contract Est. Cost: _____ Contract Actual Cost: _____

Project Delivery Method: ☐DBB; ☐CMGC; ☐DB; ☐ _____

3) Name of Firm: _____ Reference Contact Person _____

Telephone: _____ email _____

Project Title: _____

Scheduled Contract Completion Date: _____ Actual Contract Completion Date: _____

Contract Est. Cost: _____ Contract Actual Cost: _____

Project Delivery Method: ☐DBB; ☐CMGC; ☐DB; ☐ _____

ATTACHMENT A - PROPOSAL COVER SHEET

Part II - Proposer Certifications

By signing below, the authorized representative on behalf of Proposer certifies that:

1. Proposer agrees to and shall comply with the terms and conditions of the sample Contract associated with this RFP and all requirements, specifications and terms and conditions contained within the RFP (and all Addenda, if any).
2. All contents of the Proposal (including any other forms or documentation, if required under this RFP) and this Proposal Cover Sheet, are truthful and accurate and have been prepared independently from all other Proposers, and without collusion, fraud, or other dishonesty. No attempt has been made or will be made by Proposer to induce any other person to submit or not submit a Proposal. Proposer understands that any statement or representation it makes, in response to this solicitation, if determined to be false or fraudulent, a misrepresentation, or inaccurate because of the omission of material information could result in a "claim" {as defined by the **Oregon False Claims Act**, ORS 180.750(1)}, made under the resulting Contract being a "false claim" {ORS 180.750(2)} subject to the Oregon False Claims Act, ORS 180.750 to 180.785, and to any liabilities or penalties associated with the making of a false claim under that Act.
3. Proposer has available the appropriate material, equipment, facility and personnel resources and expertise, or ability to obtain the resources and expertise, necessary to demonstrate the capability of the firm to meet all contractual responsibilities.
4. Proposer is not experiencing financial distress or having difficulty securing financing, and has sufficient cash flow to fund day-to-day operations throughout the proposed Contract period.
 - a. Within the last 3-year period, has your firm filed a bankruptcy action, filed for reorganization, made a general assignment of assets for the benefit of creditors, or had an action for insolvency instituted against it? YES ☐ / NO ☐.
 - b. If "YES" above, indicate the filing dates, jurisdictions, type of action, ultimate resolution, and dates of judgment or dismissal, if applicable:
5. Proposer has not been notified within the last 3-year period of any delinquent Federal, State or local taxes in an amount that exceeds \$3,000 for which the liability remains unsatisfied.
6. Proposer, its principals and major subcontractors (major subcontractor is defined as receiving 10% or more of the total Contract amount) have not presently, or within the last 3 years, been convicted of, indicted for, or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity with the commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, state, or local) Contract or subcontract; violation of federal or state antitrust statutes relating to the submission of bids or Proposals; or

commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, or receiving stolen property.

7. Proposer has not and will not discriminate in its employment practices with regard to race, creed, age, religious affiliation, sex, disability, sexual orientation or national origin. And, pursuant to ORS 279A.110, Proposer has not and Proposer will not discriminate against a subcontractor in the awarding of a subcontract because the subcontractor is a disadvantaged business enterprise, a minority-owned business, a woman-owned business, a business that a service-disabled veteran owns or an emerging small business certified under ORS 200.055.
8. Proposer has an operating policy supporting equal employment opportunity. If proposing firm has 50 or more people, Proposer also has a formal equal opportunity program.
- Does Proposing firm have 50 or more employees? ☐ Yes, ☐ No.
 - Does Proposing firm have a formal equal employment opportunity program? ☐ Yes, ☐ No

Firms of 49 people or less do not need to have a formal equal employment opportunity program, but shall have an operating policy supporting equal employment opportunity. Firms of 50 people or more shall also have a formal equal employment opportunity program.

9. Proposer's employees and agents are not included on the list entitled "Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons" maintained by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the United States Department of the Treasury and currently found at <https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/sanctions/SDN-List/Pages/default.aspx>
10. Proposer and its Principals, and any of its prospective subcontractors for this award are not presently debarred, suspended, disqualified, proposed for debarment or declared ineligible for the award of contracts by any federal agency or agency of the State of Oregon, and does not have an Active Exclusion on the System for Award Management (SAM) which is available at <https://sam.gov/>.
11. Proposer, acting through its authorized representative, has read and understands the RFP instructions, specifications, and terms and conditions contained within the RFP (including the sample Contract) and all Addenda, if any. The Proposal submitted is in response to the specific language contained in the RFP, and Proposer has made no assumptions based upon either (a) verbal or written statements not contained in the RFP, or (b) a previously-issued RFP, if any.

Signature:

Date:

(President or Authorized Representative of Proposer)

Print Name: _____

Title: _____

ATTACHMENT B – STATEMENT of WORK

Disciplines

The following table details the disciplines required to accomplish the project’s objectives.

Architectural & Engineering

1.1 Survey	ALTA Boundary Survey, Topographic Survey, ROW/Utility Coordination, Easements, Property exhibits, Legal Descriptions
1.2 Geotechnical Work	Recommend boring location on exhibit, field borings, samples, report and recommendations
1.3 Civil Engineering	Detailed engineering plans, Roadway Plans, ROW/Utility coordination, Landscape, Property review, property acquisition coordination and exhibits, easements, bidding assistance, detailed Engineer’s estimate, detailed project schedule, vertical clearances (structures on rail) and Project Management Plan (PMP)
1.4 Structural Engineering	Bridge Design and Foundation, Viaduct Design, vertical clearances (tunnel and structures on rail)
1.5 Electrical Engineering	Electrical design, photometrics/light studies
1.6 Community Engagement	• NEPA • The Proposer shall include personnel with demonstrated experience leading community engagement and stakeholder coordination efforts for roadway projects including the NEPA Categorical Exclusion (CE) process. In addition to supporting required public involvement activities, the consultant team shall possess the staffing capacity, organizational systems, and project management expertise necessary to implement and sustain a comprehensive engagement program throughout the duration of the project, coordinating closely with the Port, the PCIP project team, ODOT, City of Reedsport, cooperating agencies, tribes, stakeholders, and the public. The Port seeks a team capable of the fulfilling the project engagement activities across the full project footprint, including planning and facilitating meetings/open houses, maintaining stakeholder databases and issue tracking systems, coordinating outreach materials and messaging, documenting public input, ensuring timely follow-up, and integrating community feedback into project development while maintaining compliance with applicable NEPA CE requirements.

-
- The objective is to integrate community inputs into project design.

2.1 NEPA/Permitting

404/10, Removal Fill, Water Quality Certification 401, CZMA, Programmatic Agreement (§106), NMFS MMPA, USFWS CAR, BO Incidental Take, ODFW Habitat Mitigation, and Tribal Coordination, Soil Erosion Control/Land Disturbance Permit/Early Grading Permit, Roadway Permit

All applicable local, state, ODOT and federal permits.

Objectives/Deliverables

The following table demonstrates the project planning objectives and deliverables.

Architecture and Engineering

Geotechnical & Basis of Design

30% Design & Alternatives Analysis

60% Design, 90% Design

NOTE:

The project shall be designed and constructed in conformance with the current edition of the *ODOT Highway Design Manual* and the *Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction Manual*. The Project shall be designed and constructed to State of Oregon/ODOT standards and approved by the State prior to advertisement for construction bids, or construction of Project by the Port.

Task 1: Preliminary Engineering and Planning Activities Subtask

1.1 Early Design Stage (30%)

- Establish initial project meetings and review project limits.
- Perform a detailed on-site assessment of the existing corridor's existing condition for all technical design groups.
- Perform detailed pre-diagnostic assessment of crossings.
- Coordinate meetings and prepare initial crossing diagrams.
- Review existing public crossing road agreements.
- Assess current crossing conditions and traffic control requirements.
- Lead pre-diagnostic and formal diagnostic meetings with road authorities and stakeholders.
- Evaluate conflicts with local utilities and drainage structures.
- Develop 30% design plans for all engineering elements.
- NEPA categorical exclusion coordination, studies and plans

1.2 Advanced Design Stage (90%)

- Verify proposed crossing improvements with local agencies to ensure no negative impact on existing infrastructure.

- Finalize designs and coordinate with all relevant stakeholders to complete the design phase.
- Prepare all items for Final Design Efforts

1.4 Permitting and Approvals

- Acquire all pertinent permits and approvals at the local, state, and federal levels.

Deliverables for Task 1:

- Deliverables 1.1.1 & 1.2.1: Preliminary Engineering Plans and Deliverables for both early (30%) and advanced (90%) project engineering plans.
 - Early and Advanced PS&E Delivery Package for OR 38 Overcrossing, Winchester Avenue crossing upgrades, and other civil improvements
 - Bridge Type, Size & Location (TS&L) Report or Bridge Strategy Memo. (Include retaining walls where bridge is listed as appropriate / required)
 - Engineer's Estimate
 - Bridge TS&L Plan Sheet(s)
 - Bridge Design Criteria & Standards Assessment.
 - Draft Design Deviations and Exceptions.
 - Pre-Design Load Rating, where required.
 - 30% Civil Plans: New and modified pavement/roadways – P&P, staging, etc.; Site civil; Drainage; Traffic; Utilities; H&H
 - Geotechnical borings and report
 - Topographic & ROW survey
 - Hydrology & Hydraulics analysis and design
 - Final Foundation design and report.
- Deliverables 1.3.1: Permits
 - All required permits.

Preliminary Railroad Crossing Study and Design



ATTACHMENT C – SAMPLE CONTRACT

The Sample Contract (including its terms, conditions and Exhibits) is not physically attached but incorporated into this RFP with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

Attachment C is available as a separate file posted with this RFP at the following website:

[Attachment C - Sample Contracts](#)

ATTACHMENT D – FORM 1 – FIRST TIER SUB-PROPOSER DISCLOSURE FORM

OREGON INTERNATIONAL PORT OF COOS BAY PROFESSIONAL TECHNICAL & EXPERT (PTE) SERVICES FIRST TIER SUB-CONSULTANT DISCLOSURE FORM

CITY PTE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

The Port's disclosure program was adopted to document the use of sub-consultants on Port projects whose total value exceeds \$100,000.

This Qualifications Based Selection requires submission by the Proposer of the First Tier Sub-consultant Disclosure Form. When the contract amount of a first-tier sub-consultant furnishing services, labor or labor and materials would be greater than or equal to \$10,000, the Proposer must disclose the following information about each sub-consultant:

- 1) The sub-consultant's contact information and Employer Identification Number (EIN or FED ID#)
- 2) The proposed scope or category of work that the sub-consultant will be performing

If the Proposer will not be using any sub-consultants that are subject to the above disclosure requirements, the Proposer is required to indicate "NONE" on the accompanying form.

Attachment D is required to be filled out partially with the written proposal and revised/completed with the cost proposal, when requested.

ATTACHMENTS: Form 1: Port of Coos Bay PTE First Tier Sub-consultant Disclosure Form

ATTACHMENT D

OREGON INTERNATIONAL PORT OF COOS BAY PTE FIRST TIER SUB-CONSULTANT DISCLOSURE FORM (FORM 1)

Proposers are required to disclose information about first-tier sub-consultants when the proposed cost of the Professional, Technical and Expert (PTE) service is greater than \$100,000, and when the contract amount of a first-tier sub-consultant furnishing services, labor or labor and materials would be greater than or equal to \$10,000. The Proposer must disclose the following information about each sub-consultant. Enter the specific expected dollar value for each sub-consultant (NOT acceptable to use > or < symbols).

Proposer Name:

QBS Solicitation Project:

Project Name:

SUB-CONSULTANT INFORMATION (PLEASE PRINT)		Scope/type of work
Firm Legal Name: Phone #: Email: Fax #: FED ID or EIN (No SSN#)		
Firm Legal Name: Phone #: Email: Fax #: FED ID or EIN (No SSN#)		
Firm Legal Name: Phone #: Email: Fax #: FED ID or EIN (No SSN#)		
Firm Legal Name: Phone #: Email: Fax #: FED ID or EIN (No SSN#)		
Firm Legal Name: Phone #: Email: Fax #: FED ID or EIN (No SSN#)		

1) If the Proposer will not be using any sub-consultants that are subject to the above disclosure Requirements, the Proposer is required to indicate "NONE" on this form.

2) All sub-consultants with contracts \$10,000 or over must be listed on Form 1.

3) Do not enter social security numbers on this form.

ATTACHMENT E – RCE GRANT SCOPE OF WORK



4.3 Project Scope

The Recipient will notify FRA in writing of any requested changes in Project Scope and will not proceed with the changed scope unless approved by FRA in writing. If approved, changes to Project Scope may require additional environmental review or an amendment to this Agreement.

Task 1: Project Administration and Management

Subtask 1.1: Project Administration

The Recipient will perform all tasks required for the Project through a coordinated process, which will involve affected railroad owners, operators, and funding partners, including:

- City of Reedsport, OR (ROW owner)
- Oregon Department of Transportation (design standards & requirements)
- FRA

The Recipient will facilitate the coordination of all activities necessary for implementation of the Project. The Recipient will:

- participate in a Project kickoff meeting with FRA following award;
- complete necessary steps to hire a qualified consultant/contractor to perform required Project work, as necessary;
- hold regularly scheduled Project meetings with FRA;
- inspect and approve work as it is completed; and
- participate in other coordination, as needed.

The Recipient will demonstrate to FRA that it is carrying out the project benefits in the most cost-efficient manner.

Subtask 1.2: Project Management Plan

The Recipient will prepare a Project Management Plan (PMP), that describes how the Project will be implemented and monitored to ensure effective, efficient, and safe delivery of the Project on time and within budget. The PMP will describe, in detail, the activities and steps necessary to complete the tasks outlined in this Statement of Work.

The PMP will include a Project Schedule and Project Budget for the work to be performed under this Agreement. The Project Schedule will be consistent with the Estimated Project Schedule in Section 5.2 of this Attachment 2 but provide a greater level of detail. Similarly, the Project Budget should be consistent with the Approved Project Budget in Section 6.5 of this Attachment 2 but provide a greater level of detail.

The Recipient will submit the PMP to FRA for review and approval. The Recipient will implement the Project as described in the approved PMP. The Recipient will not begin work on subsequent tasks until FRA has provided written approval of the PMP, unless FRA has provided pre-award authority for such

work under Section 6.6 of this Attachment 2. FRA will not reimburse the Recipient for costs incurred in contravention of this requirement.

FRA may require the Recipient to update the PMP. The Recipient will submit any such updates to FRA for review and approval, and FRA will determine if updates to the PMP require an amendment to this Agreement. The Project Budget and Project Schedule may be revised consistent with Article 5 of Attachment 1 of this Agreement without amending this Agreement.

Subtask 1.3: Project Closeout

The Recipient will submit a Final Performance Report as required by Section 7.2 of Attachment 1 of this Agreement, which should describe the cumulative activities of the Project, including a complete description of the Recipient's achievements with respect to the Project objectives and milestones.

Task 1 Deliverables:

Deliverable ID	Subtask	Deliverable Name
1.1	1.2	Project Management Plan
1.2	1.3	Final Performance Report

Task 2: Environmental Review

The Recipient will not commence work on Task 2: Environmental Review until FRA has approved the PMP deliverable described in Task 1: Project Administration and Management and provided written notification to proceed.

The Recipient will prepare all required documentation to comply with applicable environmental laws, including but not limited to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, Section 4(f) of the US DOT Act, and Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, as well as applicable implementing regulations and guidance. The Recipient will provide FRA with sufficient information to determine the NEPA Class of Action and will prepare the environmental documentation as detailed in the PMP. The PMP will identify information and activities necessary to perform and complete the required environmental review.

Task 2 Deliverables:

Deliverable ID	Deliverable Name
2	Final NEPA Documentation

Task 3: Preliminary Engineering

The Recipient will not commence work on Task 3: Preliminary Engineering until FRA has approved the PMP deliverable described in Task 1: Project Administration and Management and provided written notification to proceed.

The Recipient will complete and submit a preliminary engineering (PE) design set to FRA for approval, as specified in the PMP. The PE design set includes engineering up to 90% design level, as detailed in the PMP, which includes but is not limited to necessary field surveys, operational analysis, legal due diligence, and preparation of drawings and cost estimates. The PE Design Set will include all design development and Project delivery documentation, along with eligible and allowable cost estimates, with associated project milestone timelines necessary to demonstrate the effectiveness, feasibility, and readiness of the Project for final design and construction.

Task 3 Deliverables:

Deliverable ID	Deliverable Name
3	PE Design Set

4.4 Implement Required Environmental Commitments

None.

ARTICLE 5: AWARD DATES AND ESTIMATED PROJECT SCHEDULE

5.1 Award Dates

Budget Period End Date: 05/31/2027

Period of Performance End Date: 05/31/2027

5.2 Estimated Project Schedule

Milestones associated with this Agreement are identified in Table 5-A: Estimated Project Schedule. The Recipient will complete these milestones to FRA's satisfaction by the Schedule Date, subject to Article 5